Argyll & Bute Integration Joint Board Complaints handling process v 0.1

Document control

Title	Integration Joint Board Complaints Handling Procedure				
Author	Integration Joint Board				
Creation date	Document Control May 2022				
Date of version	May 2022				

Version history

Version	Comments
V0.1	Draft

External guidance

Version

The Model Complaints Handling Procedure for the Scottish Government, Scottish Parliament

The complaints handling process

 Our Complaints Handling Procedure (CHP) aims to provide a quick, simple and streamlined process for responding to complaints early and locally by capable, well-trained staff. Where possible, we will **resolve** the complaint to the customer's satisfaction. Where this is not possible, we will give the customer a clear and reasoned response to their complaint.

Complaint received

A customer may complain either verbally or in writing, including face-to-face, by phone, letter or email.

Stage 1: Frontline response

For issues that are straightforward and simple, requiring little or no investigation. 'On-the-spot' apology, explanation, or other action to put the matter right

Complaint resolved or a response provided in **five working days** or less (unless there are exceptional circumstances)

Complaints addressed by any member of staff, or alternatively referred to the appropriate point for frontline response

Response normally face-to-face or by telephone (though sometimes we will need to put the decision in writing)

We will tell the customer how to escalate their complaint to stage 2

Stage 2: Investigation

Where the customer is not satisfied with the frontline response, or refuses to engage at the frontline, or where the complaint is complex, serious or 'high-risk'

Complaint acknowledged within three working days.

We will contact the customer to clarify the points of complaint and outcome sought (where these are already clear, we will confirm them in the acknowledgement)

Complaint resolved or a definitive response provided within 20 working days following a thorough investigation of the points raised

Independent external review (SPSO or other)

Where the customer is not satisfied with the stage 2

We record details of all complaints, the outcome and any action taken, and use this data to analyse themes and trends.

Senior management have an active interest in complaints and use complaints data and analysis to improve services.

Learning is shared throughout the organisation.

Resolving the complaint

- 2. A complaint is **resolved** when both the Integration Joint Board and the customer agree what action (if any) will be taken to provide full and final resolution for the customer, without making a decision about whether the complaint is upheld or not upheld.
- 3.

What to do when you receive a complaint

9. Members of staff receiving a complaint should consider four key questions. This will help them to either respond to the complaint quickly (at stage 1) or determine whether the complaint is more suitable for stage 2:

What exactly is the customer's complaint (or complaints)?

- 10. It is important to be clear about exactly what the customer is complaining about. We may need to ask the customer for more information and probe further to get a full understanding.
- 11. We will need to decide whether the issue can be defined as a complaint and whether there are circumstances that may limit our ability to respond to the complaint (such as the time limit for making complaints, confidentiality, anonymity or the need for consent). We should also consider whether the complaint is serious, high-risk or high-profile.
- 12. If the matter is not suitable for handling as a complaint, we will explain this to the customer (and signpost them to SPSO). There is detailed guidance on this step in Part 2: When to use this procedure.
- 13. In most cases, this step will be straightforward. If it is not, the complaint may need to be handled immediately at stage 2 (see **Stage 2: Investigation**).

What does the customer want to achieve by complaining?

14.

The Model Complaints Handling Procedure for the Scottish Government, Scottish Parliament

Stage 2: Investigation

36. Not all complaints are suitable for frontline response and not all complaints will be satisfactorily

- 45. Where the points of complaint and outcome sought are clear, we can confirm our understanding of these with the customer when acknowledging the complaint (see **Acknowledging the complaint**).
- 46. Where the points of complaint and outcome sought are not clear, we must contact the customer to confirm these. We will normally need to speak to the customer (by phone or face-to-face) to do this effectively. In some cases it may be possible to clarify complaints in writing. The key point is that we need to be sure we and the customer have a shared understanding of the complaint. When contacting the customer we will be respectful of their stated preferred method of contact. We should keep a clear record of any discussion with the customer.
- 47. In all cases, we must have a clear shared understanding of:

What are the points of complaint to be investigated?

While the complaint may appear to be clear, agreeing the points of complaint at the outset ensures there is a shared understanding and avoids the complaint changing or confusion arising at a later stage. The points of complaint should be specific enough to direct the investigation, but broad enough to include any multiple and specific points of concern about the same issue.

We will make every effort to agree the points of complaint with the customer (alternative complaint resolution approaches may be helpful at this stage). In very rare cases, it may not be possible to agree the points of complaint (for example, if the customer insists on an unreasonably large number of complaints being separately investigated, or on framing their complaint in an abusive way). We will manage any such cases in accordance with our [unacceptable actions policy, or equivalent], bearing in mind that we should continue to investigate the complaint (as we understand it) wherever possible.

Is there anything we can't consider under the CHP?

We must explain if there are any points that are not suitable for handling under the CHP (see Part 2: What to do if the CHP does not apply).

What outcome does the customer want to achieve by complaining?

Asking what outcome the customer is seeking helps direct the investigation and enables us to focus on resolving the complaint where possible.

Are the customer's expectations realistic and achievable?

It may be that the customer expects more than we can provide, or has unrealistic expectations about the scope of the investigation. If so, we should make this clear to the customer as soon as possible.

Notifying staff members involved

48. If the complaint is about the actions of a particular staff member/s, we will notify the staff member/s involved (including where the staff member is not named, but can be identified from the complaint). We will:

share the complaint information with the staff member/s (unless there are compelling reasons not to)

Closing the complaint at the investigation stage

- 65. The response to the complaint should be in writing (or by the customer's preferred method of contact) and must be signed off by a manager or officer who is empowered to provide the final response on behalf of the Integration Joint Board.
- 66. We will tell the customer the outcome of the complaint (whether it is resolved, upheld, partially upheld or not upheld). The quality of the complaint response is very important and in terms of good practice should:

be clear and easy to understand, written in a way that is person-centred and non-confrontational

avoid technical terms, but where these must be used, an explanation of the term should be provided

address all the issues raised and demonstrate that each element has been fully and fairly investigated

include an apology where things have gone wrong (this is different to an expression of empathy: see the SPSO's guidance on apology)

highlight any area of disagreement and explain why no further action can be taken

indicate that a named member of staff is available to clarify any aspect of the letter; and

indicate that if they are not satisfied with the outcome of the local process, they may seek a review by the SPSO (see **Signposting to the SPSO**).

- 67. Where a complaint has been **resolved**, the response does not need to provide a decision on all points of complaint, but should instead confirm the resolution agreed. See **Resolving the complaint.**
- 68. If the complaint is about the actions of a particular staff member/s, we will share with them any part of the complaint response which relates to them, (unless there are compelling reasons not to).
- 69. We will record the decision, and details of how it was communicated to the customer, on the complaints system.
- 70. The SPSO has guidance on responding to a complaint:

Template decision letter

Apology guidance

71. At the earliest opportunity after the closure of the complaint, the staff member handling the complaint should consider whether any learning has been identified. See Part 4: Learning from complaints.

Signposting to the SPSO

72. Once the investigation stage has been completed, the customer has the right to approach the SPSO if they remain dissatisfied. We must make clear to the customer:

their right to ask the SPSO to consider the complaint

the time limit for doing so; and

how to contact the SPSO.

- 73. The SPSO considers complaints from people who remain dissatisfied at the conclusion of our complaints procedure. The SPSO looks at issues such as service failure and maladministration (administrative fault), and the way we have handled the complaint. There are some subject areas that are outwith the SPSO's jurisdiction, but it is the SPSO's role to determine whether an individual complaint is one that they can consider (and to what extent). All investigation responses must signpost to the SPSO.
- 74. The SPSO recommends that we use the wording below to inform customers of their right to ask the SPSO to consider the complaint. This information should only be included on the Integration Joint Board's final response to the complaint.

Information about the SPSO

The Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) is the final stage for complaints about public services in Scotland. This includes complaints about *Integration Joint Board*. The SPSO is an independent organisation that investigates complaints. It is not an advocacy or support service (but there are other organisations who can help you with advocacy or support).

If you remain dissatisfied when you have had a final response from *Integration Joint Board*, you can ask the SPSO to look at your complaint. You can ask the SPSO to look at your complaint if:

you have gone all the way through the [organisation]'s Complaints Handling Procedure

it is less than 12 months after you became aware of the matter you want to complain about, and

the matter has not been (and is not being) considered in court.

The SPSO will ask you to complete a complaint form and provide a copy of this letter (our final response to your complaint). You can do this online at www.spso.org.uk/complain or call them on Freephone 0800 377 7330.

You may wish to get independent support or advocacy to help you progress your complaint. Organisations who may be able to assist you are:

Citizens Advice Bureau

Scottish Independent Advocacy Alliance

The SPSO's contact details are:

SPSO

Bridgeside House

99 McDonald Road

Edinburgh

EH7 4NS

(if you would like to visit in person, you must make an appointment first)

Their freepost address is:

FREEPOST SPSO

Freephone: 0800 377 7330

Online contact <u>www.spso.org.uk/contact-us</u>

Website: www.spso.org.uk

Post-closure contact

75. If a customer contacts us for clarification when they have received our final response, we may have further discussion with the customer to clarify our response and answer their questions. However, if the customer is dissatisfied with our response or does not accept our findings, we will explain that we have already given them our final response on the matter and signpost them to the SPSO.

Appendix 1 - Timelines

General

 References to timelines throughout the CHP relate to working days. We do not count nonworking days, for example weekends, public holidays and days of industrial action where our service has been interrupted.

Timelines at frontline response (stage 1)

- 2. We will aim to achieve frontline response within five working days. The date of receipt is **day one**, and the response should be provided (or the complaint escalated) on **day five**, at the latest.
- 3. If we have extended the timeline at the frontline response stage in line with the CHP, the response should be provided (or the complaint escalated) on **day ten**, at the latest.

Transferring cases from frontline response to investigation

4. If the customer wants to escalate the complaint to the investigation stage, the case must be passed for investigation without delay. In practice this will mean on the same day that the customer is told this will happen.

Timelines at investigation (stage 2)

5. For complaints at the investigation stage, day one is:

the day the case is transferred from the frontline stage to the investigation stage

the day the customer asks for an investigation or expresses dissatisfaction after a decision at the frontline response stage; or

the date we receive the complaint, if it is handled immediately at stage 2.

- 6. We must acknowledge the complaint within three working days of receipt at stage 2 i.e. by **day three**.
- We should respond in full to the complaint by day 20, at the latest. We have 20 working days to
 investigate the complaint, regardless of any time taken to consider it at the frontline response
 stage.
- 8. Exceptionally, we may need longer than the 20 working day limit for a full 22(n)23(e)23()-121(re)22(s)-32

11. If we cannot meet the extended timeframe at stage 2, a further extension may be approved by an appropriate manager if there are clear reasons for this. This should only occur in exceptional circumstances (the original extension should allow sufficient time to realistically investigate and respond to the complaint). Where a further extension is agreed, we should explain the situation to the customer and give them a revised timeframe for completion. We must update the customer and any staff involved in the investigation at least once every 20 working days.

What happens when a customer asks for stage 2 consideration a long time after receiving a frontline response?

12. Unless exceptional circumstances exist, customers should bring a stage 2 complaint within six months of learning about the problem, or within two months of receiving the stage 1 response (whichever is latest). See **Part 2: Time limits for making a complaint.**

nare any learning points						